



# **Physics of Cosmic Acceleration**

2. Dark Energy as a Field

#### **Eric Linder**

II Tiomno School (Rio 2012)



UC Berkeley & Berkeley Lab Institute for the Early Universe, Korea





Why not just bring back the cosmological constant ( $\Lambda$ )?

When physicists calculate how big  $\Lambda$  should be, they don't quite get it right.

They are off by a factor of

 $1,000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000,\\000,000,000,000.$ 



#### This is modestly called the fine tuning problem.



Why not just settle for a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ ?

→ For 90 years we have tried to understand why  $\Lambda$  is at least 10<sup>120</sup> times smaller than we would expect – *and failed*.

→ We know there *was* an epoch of time varying vacuum once – inflation.



We cannot calculate the vacuum energy to within  $10^{120}$ . But it gets worse: Think of the energy in  $\Lambda$  as the level of the quantum "sea". At most times in history, matter is either drowned or dry.



# **Λ: Ugly Duckling**





- Fine Tuning Puzzle why so small?
- Coincidence Puzzle why now?

# **Theory of Fields**



#### Scalar field:



At every point in a field of grass, you can measure the height of the grass: a single number or scalar h(x).

#### **Vector fields:**



At every point in a trampled field of grass, you can measure the length of the grass and the direction it is lying: a vector  $\vec{g}(x)$ .



"You'll be sort of surprised what there is to be found Once you go beyond  $\Lambda$  and start poking around." – Dr. Seuss, à la "On Beyond Zebra"

**New quantum physics?** Does nothing weigh something? Einstein's cosmological constant, Quintessence, String theory

**New gravitational physics?** Is nowhere somewhere? Quantum gravity, extended gravity, extra dimensions?

We need to explore further frontiers in high energy physics, gravitation, and cosmology.

# **Finding Our Way in the Dark**



Dark energy is a completely unknown animal.

A new theory or a new component?

Track record:

Inner solar system motions  $\rightarrow$  General Relativity Outer solar system motions  $\rightarrow$  Neptune Galaxy rotation curves  $\rightarrow$  Dark Matter

# **Nature of Acceleration**





Is dark energy static? Einstein's cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ .

Is dark energy dynamic? A new, time- and spacevarying field.

Is dark energy a change in gravity?

How much dark energy is there?  $\Omega_{DE}$ How springy/stretchy is it? w=P/ $\rho$ A new law of gravity, or a new component? G<sub>N</sub>(k,z) **Scalar Field Theory** 



#### Scalar field Lagrangian canonical, minimally coupled

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = (1/2)(\partial_{\mu}\phi)^2 - V(\phi)$$

Noether prescription → Energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu}=(2/\sqrt{-g}) [\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L})/\delta g_{\mu\nu}]$$

**Perfect fluid form (from RW metric)** 

Energy density  $\rho_{\phi} = (1/2) \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) + (1/2)(\nabla \phi)^2$ Pressure  $p_{\phi} = (1/2) \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) - (1/6)(\nabla \phi)^2 + (1/2)(\nabla \phi)^2$  **Scalar Field Equation of State** 

**Equation of state ratio** 

**w = p/**ρ

Klein-Gordon equation (Lagrange equation of motion)

 $\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -dV(\phi)/d\phi$ 

Continuity equation follows KG equation  $[(1/2)\dot{\phi}^2] + 6H [(1/2)\dot{\phi}^2] = -\dot{V}$   $\dot{\rho} - \dot{V} + 3H (\rho + p) = -\dot{V}$   $d\rho/d/n a = -3(\rho + p) = -3\rho (1 + w)$   $\rho_i(a) = \rho_i e^{-3\int_0^{\ln a} d\ln a' [1 + w_i(a')]} \sim a^{-3(1 + w_i)}$ 





Limits of (canonical) Equations of State: w = (K-V) / (K+V)Potential energy dominates (slow roll)  $V >> K \Rightarrow w = -1$ Kinetic energy dominates (fast roll)

 $K >> V \Rightarrow w = +1$ 

Oscillation about potential minimum (or coherent field, e.g. axion)

 $\langle V \rangle$  =  $\langle K \rangle$   $\Rightarrow$  w = 0



**Examples of (canonical) Equations of State:** 

dρ/d*In* a = -3(ρ+p) = -3ρ (1+w)

ρ = (Energy per particle)(Number of particles) / Volume
 = E N a<sup>-3</sup>

Constant w implies  $\rho \sim a^{-3(1+w)}$ 

Matter:  $E \sim m \sim a^0$ ,  $N \sim a^0 \rightarrow w = 0$ 

Radiation:  $E \sim 1/\lambda \sim a^{-1}$ ,  $N \sim a^{0} \rightarrow w = 1/3$ 

Curvature energy:  $E \sim 1/R^2 \sim a^{-2}$ ,  $N \sim a^0 \rightarrow w = -1/3$ 

Cosmological constant:  $E \sim V$ ,  $N \sim a^0 \rightarrow w=-1$ 

Anisotropic shear: w=+1 Cosmic String network: w=-1/3 ; Domain walls: w=-2/3



#### Scalar fields can roll:

- 1) fast "kination" [Tracking models]
- 2) slow acceleration [Quintessence]
- 3) steadily acceleration deceleration [Linear potential]
- 4) oscillate potential minimum [V~ φ<sup>n</sup>], pseudoscalar, PNGB (Frieman, Hill, Stebbins, Waga 1995)



#### **Reconstruction from EOS:**

$$\rho(a) = \Omega_{\phi} \rho_{c} \exp\{3 \int dln \ a \ [1+w(z)]\}$$
  

$$\phi(a) = \int dln \ a \ H^{-1} \ sqrt\{ \rho(a) \ [1+w(z)] \}$$
  

$$V(a) = (1/2) \ \rho(a) \ [1-w(z)]$$
  

$$K(a) = (1/2) \ \dot{\phi}^{2} = (1/2) \ \rho(a) \ [1+w(z)]$$

But,  $\dot{\phi} \sim \sqrt{[(1+w)\rho]} \sim \sqrt{(1+w)} HM_p$ So if 1+w << 1, then  $\Delta \phi \sim \dot{\phi}/H << M_p$ . It is very hard to directly reconstruct the potential. Goldilocks problem: Dark energy is unlike Inflation!



#### Equation of motion of scalar field

- $\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -dV(\phi)/d\phi$
- driven by steepness of potential
- slowed by Hubble friction

**Broad categorization – which term dominates:** 

- field rolls but decelerates as dominates energy
- field starts frozen by Hubble drag and then rolls
   Freezers vs. Thawers

# **Limits of Quintessence**



Entire "thawing" region looks like  $\langle w \rangle = -1 \pm 0.05$ . Need w' experiments with  $\sigma(w') \approx 2(1+w)$ .

# **Calibrating Dark Energy**



But we can calibrate w' by

"stretching" it:  $w' \rightarrow w'(a_*)/a_*$ .

# Models have a diversity of behavior, within thawing and freezing.

Calibrated parameters w<sub>0</sub>, w<sub>a</sub>. 0.6 PNGB 0.4 - LinPot 0.4  $DGP/H^{\alpha}$  $\phi^4$ Braneworld 0.2 0.2 ----- SUGRA  $w_{a}^{(d)} = -w'(a_{*})/a_{*}$ `∧ 0 0 -0.2-0.2-0.4-0.4de Putter & Linder JCAP 0808.0189 -0.6-0.9-0.5-0.8-0.7-0.6-1 -0.95-0.9-0.85-0.8-0.75w<sub>o</sub>

The two parameters  $w_0$ ,  $w_a$  achieve 10<sup>-3</sup> level accuracy on observables d(z), H(z).

 $w(a) = w_0 + w_a(1-a)$ 

This is from physics (Linder 2003). It has *nothing* to do with a Taylor expansion.

# **Solving the Equation of Motion**



Klein-Gordon equation 
$$\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} - \frac{dV}{d\phi}$$
  
Transform to new variables  $x \equiv \frac{\kappa\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}$ ;  $y \equiv \frac{\kappa\sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}H}$   $' = \frac{d}{d\ln a}$   
 $H^2 = (\kappa^2/3)[\rho_m + (1/2)(\dot{\phi})^2 + V]$   
Autonomous  $x' = -3x + \lambda\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}y^2 + \frac{3}{2}x} [2x^2 + \gamma (1 - x^2 - y^2)]$   
system  $y' = -\lambda\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}xy + \frac{3}{2}y} [2x^2 + \gamma (1 - x^2 - y^2)]$ ,  
where  $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ ;  $\gamma = 1 + w_b$ ;  $\lambda = \frac{-V_{,\phi}}{\kappa V}$   
Copeland, Liddle, Wands 1998  
Phys. Rev. D 57, 4686  
Transform solution to  $\Omega_{\phi} = x^2 + y^2$ ;  $w = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$ 

#### **Can add equation for EOS dynamics**

$$w' = -3(1 - w^2) + \lambda(1 - w)\sqrt{3(1 + w)\Omega_{\phi}}$$

Caldwell & Linder 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett 95, 141301

# **Equation of State Dynamics**





2û



21

# For robust solutions, pay attention to initial conditions, shoot forward in time, use 4th order Runge-Kutta.

For monotonic  $\Omega_{\phi}$ , can switch to  $\Omega_{\phi}$  as time variable, defining present as, e.g.  $\Omega_{\phi}$ =0.72.





Asymptotic behaviors can be physically interesting. Solve for critical points  $x'(x_c,y_c)=0$ ,  $y'(x_c,y_c)=0$ . Check stability by sign of eigenvalues  $\delta p'=Mp$ .  $p=\{x,y\}$ 

Copeland, Liddle, Wands 1998 Phys. Rev. D 57, 4686



#### Relevant to fate of universe.

# Crossing w=-1: $y = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}H}$ so $y'_c = 0 \Rightarrow$ $\frac{V'}{V} = 2\frac{H'}{H} \equiv -3(1+w_{\text{tot}})$

Phantom fields roll up potential so V'>0, so  $w_{tot}^{\infty}$ <-1. Cannot cross w=-1 even with coupling. Quintessence can cross with coupling since w<w\_{tot}.



"Normal" potentials don't work:

 $\mathsf{V}(\phi) \thicksim \phi^n$ 

#### have minima (n even), and field just oscillates, leading to EOS

w = (n-2)/(n+2)n 0 2 4  $\infty$ w -1 0 1/3 1





#### **Oscillating field**



Turner 1983

Take osc. time << H<sup>-1</sup> and  $\rho$  constant over osc.  $\langle \dot{\phi}^2 \rangle = \int dt \ \dot{\phi}^2 / \int dt = \int d\phi \ \dot{\phi} / \int d\phi / \dot{\phi}$   $= 2\rho \int d\phi [1-V/V_{max}]^{1/2} / [1-V/V_{max}]^{-1/2}$ If V = V<sub>max</sub>( $\phi / \phi_{max}$ )<sup>n</sup> then  $\langle w \rangle = -1 + 2 \int_0^1 dx (1-x^n)^{1/2} / \int_0^1 dx (1-x^n)^{-1/2}$ = -1 + 2n/(n+2)

# **Tracking fields**





Criterion  $\Gamma = VV''/(V')^2 > 1$ , d In ( $\Gamma$ -1)/dt <<H.

However, generally only achieves  $w_0 > -0.7$ .

Successful model requires fast-slow roll.



Observations that map out expansion history a(t), or w(a), tell us about the fundamental physics of dark energy.

Alterations to Friedmann framework  $\rightarrow w(a)$ Suppose we admit our ignorance:

 $H^2 = (8\pi/3) \rho_m + \delta H^2(a)$ 

gravitational extensions or high energy physics

**Effective equation of state:** 

 $w(a) = -1 - (1/3) dln (\delta H^2) / dln a$ 

Modifications of the expansion history are equivalent to time variation w(a). Period.



For modifications  $\delta H^2$ , define an effective scalar field with

- $V = (3M_P^2/8\pi) \, \delta H^2 + (M_P^2H_0^2/16\pi) \, [ d \, \delta H^2/d \, In \, a]$
- K = (M<sub>P</sub><sup>2</sup>H<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup>/16π) [ d  $\delta$ H<sup>2</sup>/d *In* a]

**Example:**  $\delta H^2 = A(\rho_m)^n$ 

w = -1+n

**Example:**  $\delta H^2 = (8\pi/3) [g(\rho_m) - \rho_m]$ 

w= -1 + (g'-1)/[ g/p<sub>m</sub> - 1 ]

#### Are We Done?



 $w = -1.013^{+0.068}_{-0.073}$  (stat+sys)



Dark energy is very much *not* the search for one number, "w".

Dynamics: Theories other than  $\Lambda$  give time variation w(z). Form w(z)=w<sub>0</sub>+w<sub>a</sub>z/(1+z) accurate to 0.1% in observable.

Degrees of freedom: Quintessence determines sound speed  $c_s^2=1$ . Barotropic DE has  $c_s^2(w)$ . But generally have w(z),  $c_s^2(z)$ . Is DE cold ( $c_s^2 <<1$ )? Cold DE enhances perturbations.

Persistence: Is there early DE (at z>>1)?  $\Omega_{\Lambda}(z_{CMB})\sim 10^{-9}$  but observations allow 10<sup>-2</sup>.









#### Current constraints on c<sub>s</sub> using CMB (WMAP5), CMB × gal (2MASS,SDSS,NVSS), gal (SDSS).



but consistent with  $\Lambda$  within 68% cl.

cf. generalized DE Hu 1998

Early DE density parametrized by Doran & Robbers 2006 form. (Note  $\Omega_{\Lambda}(z=10^3)\sim 10^{-9}$ .)

Perturbations by sound speed  $c_s^2=dp/dp$ . Quintessence has  $c_s^2=1$ . Largest effect for smallest  $c_s^2 -$  "cold dark energy".



Finally, anisotropic stress c<sub>vis</sub>≠0 (Hu 1998).

# Early, Cold, Stressed Dark Energy

**Perturbations** enhanced by lowering sound speed  $c_s^2$  (from 1) and suppressed by raising stress c<sub>vis</sub><sup>2</sup> (from 0).



Enhanced perturbations strengthen gravitational potential, so reduce photon Sachs-Wolfe power and enhance ISW.

Calabrese, de Putter, Huterer, Linder, Melchiorri 2011

# Early, Cold, Stressed Dark Energy



Also affects CMB lensing.

New degrees of freedom can be detected; testing consistency difficult.

Does not degrade other parameters.







# **Exercise 2.1: Solve the dynamics for a DBI scalar field**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -V(\phi)\sqrt{1-\dot{\phi}^2}$$

see Abramo & Finelli 2003

$$H^{2} = \frac{\kappa^{2}}{3} \left[ \rho_{m} + \frac{V(\phi)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^{2}}} - V(\phi) \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^{2}} \right]$$

For resources on dark energy as a field, see

Copeland, Sami, Tsujikawa 2006, Dynamics of Dark Energy http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0603057 and the references cited therein.